•	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	00/002 024	MATHEME ET AL
	09/902,021 Examiner	MATHEWS ET AL. Art Unit
	Narayanswamy Subramanian	3624
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this ap or other appropriate communication IGHTS. This application is subject to	plication. If not included n will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to <u>7/6/2004</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-27.		•
3. \boxtimes The drawings filed on <u>26 August 2002</u> are accepted by the	Examiner.	
4. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE"	e been received. e been received in Application No cuments have been received in this of this communication to file a reply	national stage application from the
noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 5. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm	itted. Note the attached EXAMINER	
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give		ation is deficient.
6. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus		O49) attached
(a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date		
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date		Office action of
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t		
7. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT		
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/O Paper No./Mail Date	6. ☐ Interview Summary Paper No./Mail Da 08), 7. ☑ Examiner's Amend	ite
of Biological Material	9.	

Application/Control Number: 09/902,021 Page 2

Art Unit: 3624

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to the appeal brief filed on July 6, 2004. Applicant's arguments are persuasive and the Examiner withdraws the finality of rejection made in the office action mailed on February 5, 2004. Claims 1-27 are pending.

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT/COMMENT

- 2. During an interview with Applicant's representative Mr. Andrew Spence on September 23, 2004, Attorney Spence agreed to the following amendments to claims 1 and 19 to overcome rejections under 35 USC 101. The amended claims are:
- 1. (Currently Amended) A <u>computer-implemented</u> method for performing a contingent claim valuation comprising:

determining a present value distribution of contingent future benefits attributable to the exercise of the contingent claim at a subsequent time, wherein determining the present value distribution of contingent future benefits comprises discounting a distribution of contingent future benefits according to a first discount rate;

determining a present value of a contingent future investment required to exercise the contingent claim at the subsequent time based upon a second discount rate that need not equal the first discount rate; and

determining a value of the contingent claim based upon the present value distribution of contingent future benefits and the present value of the contingent future investment,

wherein at least one of the determining steps is performed by a processing element.

19. (Currently Amended) A computer program product for performing a method of valuing a contingent claim, the computer program product comprising:

a computer-readable storage medium having computer-[readable] <u>executable</u> program code portions stored therein for performing the method, the method comprising:

determining a present value distribution of contingent future benefits attributable to the exercise of the contingent claim at a subsequent time, wherein determining a present value distribution comprises discounting a distribution of contingent future benefits according to a first discount rate;

determining a present value of a contingent future investment required to exercise the contingent claim at the subsequent time based upon a second discount rate that need not equal the first discount rate; and

determining a value of the contingent claim based upon the present value distribution of contingent future benefits and the present value of the contingent future investment.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-27 are allowed.
- 4. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

The claimed invention pertains to a computer-implemented method, system and a computer program product for performing a contingent claim valuation comprising determining a present value distribution of contingent future benefits attributable to the exercise of the contingent claim at a subsequent time using a first discount rate, determining a present value of a contingent future

Application/Control Number: 09/902,021

Art Unit: 3624

investment required to exercise the contingent claim at the subsequent time based upon a second discount rate, and determining a value of the contingent claim based upon the present value distribution of contingent future benefits and the present value of the contingent future investment.

The closest prior art Glasserman et al (US Patent 6,381,586) in combination with Shepherd (US Patent 6,157,918) and Makivic (US Patent 6,061,662) teaches all the features of the claimed invention except the steps of determining the present value distribution of contingent future benefits and determining a present value of a contingent future investment based upon a second discount rate that need not equal the first discount rate.

The searches separately made by the examiner and the Electronic Information Center on the databases of USPTO, European Patent Office, Japanese Patent Office, Derwent Database, Non-Patent literature Databases do not show any patent or non-patent literature regarding ideas, projects or applications disclosed by the instant invention.

The following list identifies the most relevant prior art pertinent to the claimed invention:

Glasserman et al (US Patent 6,381,586) in combination with Shepherd (US Patent 6,157,918) and Makivic (US Patent 6,061,662) teaches all the features of the claimed invention except the steps of determining the present value distribution of contingent future benefits and determining a present value of a contingent future investment based upon a second discount rate that need not equal the first discount rate.

<u>Uenohara et al</u> (EP 1178416 A1) discloses a system for correctly evaluating a price distribution and a risk distribution for a financial product or its derivatives by introducing a probability density function generated with a Boltzmann model. Uenohara fails to disclose most of the features of the claimed invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/902,021

Art Unit: 3624

Shapiro, Alan (Modern Corporate Finance) discloses a feature of using two different discount rates to compute the value of two cash flows of differing risks. Shapiro however fails to disclose the steps of determining the present value distribution of contingent future benefits and determining a present value of a contingent future investment based upon a second discount rate that need not equal the first discount rate.

Thus, the Examiner considers the novelty of the invention to be a computer-implemented method for performing a contingent claim valuation including the steps of determining the present value distribution of contingent future benefits and determining a present value of a contingent future investment based upon a second discount rate that need not equal the first discount rate.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dr. Narayanswamy Subramanian whose telephone number is (703) 305-4878. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Thursday from 8:30 AM to 7:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached at (703) 308-1065.

The fax number for Formal or Official faxes and Draft or Informal faxes to the Patent Office is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 3624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

N. Subramanian

September 23, 2004

Carlish a late brimary examine

Vincent Millin

SPE, Art Unit 3624